

INTRODUCTION - LAW WITHOUT QUALITIES?	17
On the Kinship Between Law and Literature	19
Why the Law Matters—and Must Be Preserved	25
To the South, Weimar. Running Away from Perpetual Infancy	30
Behemoth vs. Leviathan: The Battle of Degeneration	32
Why the Degeneration of Law Is the Degeneration of Democracy	37
References	38
 PART I - PARADIGM OF DEGENERATION	41
 Introduction: Nazi Socialism and Its Spiritual Context	43
 1.1. The Flight of Law Toward Morality	50
 1.2 What the Nazis Undermined: The Autonomy of Law as a Normative Victim of National Socialism	54
1.2.1 On the Meaning of the Rule of Law	58
1.2.1.1 From Absolutism to Constitutionalism: From Legal Authoritarianism to the Authority of Law	59
1.2.1.2 The <i>Rechtsstaat</i> : A Brief Genealogy of a Troubled Concept (1789-1933)	65
1.2.1.3 The Pamphleteering Origins of the Term: a Weapon against the Prussian Police State	65
1.2.1.3.1 The Long-Awaited Legal Order (1871–1918)	67
1.2.1.3.2 The New Era of the Rule of Law: the Weimar Constitution	70
 1.3 The Journey to the Limits of Law: Degeneration and the Dual State	73
1.3.1 The Illusions of Positivism and the Degenerate Trinity	74
1.3.2 The Importance of Legal Dogmatics and the Three Pathways of Epistemic Degeneration	77
1.3.2.1 Attack on Liberalism	87
1.3.2.2 Attack on Formalism	91
1.3.2.3 Attack on Semitism	94
1.3.3 The Targets of Institutional Degeneration and the Nazi Redefinition of the Rule of Law	97
1.3.3.1 Targets of Institutional Degeneration Group 1: Centers of Democratic Oversight	99
1.3.3.1.1 Constitutional Parliamentary Democracy	99
1.3.3.1.2 Private Law and Rights	101
1.3.3.1.3 The Difference Between Law and Politics	103
1.3.3.1.4 Separation of Powers	105
1.3.3.1.5 The Legal “Pandora’s Box”: The Case of <i>Prussia v. Reich</i>	109
1.3.3.2 Targets of Institutional Degeneration Group 2: Mechanisms of Democratic Oversight	115
1.3.3.2.1 Subversion of Positive Legal Order	115
1.3.3.2.2 Legality	122

1.3.3.3 Hijacking of the Rule of Law: The Nazification of the <i>Rechtsstaat</i>	124
1.3.4 The Effects of Instrumentalizing Degeneration: The Tools of Destruction	130
1.3.4.1 New Sources of Law	130
1.3.4.2 Introducing Exception: New Ideology	132
1.3.4.3 Polarization. Politics Operating Under the Friend/Enemy Pairing	137
1.3.4.4 Legitimacy <i>versus</i> Legality	141
1.3.4.5 The Use of General Clauses and (Un)Lawfully Indeterminate Concepts	143
1.3.4.6 Use of Extra-Legal Concepts	150
1.3.5 Ernst Fraenkel and the Intellectual Fight against the Waning of Law	151
1.3.6 The Concept of Dual State	152
1.3.6.1 The “Dual State” as a Critique of the Structural Ambiguities of the Rule of Law	158
1.3.7 Activism and Degeneration	161
1.3.8 Rüthers’ Maxims: Scholarly Notes on an Explicit Text	174
1.3.9 The Defense of the Autonomy of Law	201
1.4 Degeneration in the Contemporary Context	206
1.4.1 Pedro Serrano’s “Liquid Authoritarianism”	206
1.4.2 David Landau’s Abusive Constitutionalism	212
1.4.3. Operation Car Wash and Degenerate Justice	219
1.4.3.1 The 13 th Federal Court of Curitiba: Strategic and Degenerate Use of Jurisdictional Rules	220
1.4.3.2 Judicial Recusal (Disqualification for Bias)	227
1.4.3.3 Manipulation of Public Opinion and Coercion through Plea Agreements	235
1.5 What Constitutes a Legitimate Democracy? Notes on Minorities	236
1.6 Lessons from the Paradigm of Degeneration	242
References	244
 PART II - PARADIGM OF DECISION	261
 Introduction: Decision-making Frameworks and Absorbable Complexity	263
2.1 The Subsumption Paradigm	267
2.1.1 The Subsumption Paradigm: General Premises of the Positivist School	267
2.1.2 Legalist Positivism and the Subsumption Method	272
2.1.3 General Features of Legalist Positivism in France and Germany	273
2.1.3.1 Myths Concerning Legalist Positivism	277
2.1.3.2 The Method of Legal Application in Exegetical Positivism and the Stigma of the Judge as the “Cold Mouthpiece of the Law”	281
2.1.4 The Framework of Rules and Its Relation to Subsumption	286
2.1.5 The Birth of Constitutional Adjudication and the Complexity It Can Absorb	287
2.2 The Sub-paradigm of Proportionality and Balancing	302
2.2.1 Always and Again the Linguistic Turn	302

2.2.2 Normativist Positivism, or Kelsen's Positivism	303
2.2.3 The Contributions of Hans Kelsen	304
2.2.3.1 Basic Notions for Understanding Kelsen's Scientific Proposal: Society versus Nature	305
2.2.3.2 <i>Pure Theory of Law</i> and the Essential Specificities of Kelsen's Normativist Positivism	310
2.2.3.3 Legal Decision According to Hans Kelsen	316
2.2.4 Herbert Hart: Law as a System of Primary and Secondary Rules	319
2.2.4.1 The Penumbra Zone and the Dichotomy Between Easy Cases and Hard Cases	323
2.2.5 Law as the Ground of Its Own Validity: Autopoiesis	325
2.2.6 The Impact of Nazi-Fascism, the Emergence of Neo-constitutionalism, and Principles as a New Approach to Easy and Hard Cases	328
2.2.7 The Strengthening of Fundamental Rights in the Post-War Period	334
2.2.8 Constitutional Adjudication as a Space for the Protection of the Autonomy of Law	337
2.2.8.1 Kelsen's "Model of the Negative Legislator" as a Reference for Europe	342
2.2.8.2 The Insufficiency of the "Negative Legislator" Framework in the Face of Growing Complexity: The Emergence of Manipulative, Interpretive, and Limiting Decisions	347
2.2.9 The Intrinsic Relationship Between Constitutional Adjudication and the Autonomy of Law	355
2.2.10 The Rules-Principles Dichotomy	357
2.2.11 The Principle and Proportionality in Robert Alexy's Theory	359
2.3 The Principle as a Means of Recovering Values and the Problem of Proportionality and Balancing	362
2.3.1 The Hermeneutic Age: Law and the Ontological-Linguistic Turn	369
2.3.2 Hermeneutic Approach to Law	378
2.3.3 Post-positivism	380
2.3.3.1 The Text-Norm Distinction, or the Judiciary's Creation of Law and Its Function in Norm-formation and Regulation	382
2.3.3.2 The Contribution of Friedrich Müller's Structuring Theory of Law to the Distinction between Legal Text and Norm	386
2.3.3.3 Interpretation as a Productive, Historically Conditioned Act	391
2.3.3.4 Decision as an Interpretive, not a Syllogistic, Act	398
2.3.3.5 Confronting Discretion	412
2.3.4 Theory of Adjudication	419
2.3.4.1 Combating Pseudo Judicial Reasoning	426
2.3.4.2 The Nonexistence of Decisional Spaces Unbound by the Constitution. A Case Study of the Jury: The Unconstitutionality of the "Honor Defense"	431
2.3.5 The Domain of Constitutional Principles within the Interpretivist Paradigm	461
2.3.6 Overcoming or Not Overcoming the Principle–Rule Dichotomy?	471
2.3.7 The Debate Between Hart and Dworkin and the Emergence of Contemporary Positivism	479

2.3.8 Soft Positivism	481
2.3.9 Hard Positivism	495
2.3.10 Addressing the Relationship Between Law and Morality	505
2.4 Constitutional Adjudication under the Interpretivist Paradigm: Control over Interpretations of Normative Acts and the Production of Normativity	516
2.4.1 Interpretive Decisions in the Strict Sense	517
2.4.2 Additive and Substitutive Rulings and the Role of Constitutional Adjudication as a Para-Legislative Body	521
2.4.3 Limiting Judgments. Prospective Overruling (<i>Modulação de Efeitos</i>) in Constitutional Adjudication	530
2.4.4 Increasing Complexity of Constitutional Adjudication From Legislative Acts to All Acts of Government From the Text to the Interpretive Possibilities of the Text From Invalidation to Correction	537
2.5 Acts and Government Action under the Review of Constitutional Adjudication: How Legal Autonomy Reaches Public Administration	544
2.6 Prospective Effect of the Invalidation of Administrative Acts and the Decline of the Nullity vs. Voidability Distinction	556
2.7 The Autonomy of Law Rejects Judicial Discretion	562
2.8 The Normative Archetype of the Decision (Paragraph One of Article 489 of the Brazilian Code of Civil Procedure and the Law of Introduction to the Rules of Brazilian Law (LINDB)): What Kind of Consequentialism Is Possible Within a Constitutional Paradigm?	572
2.9 Constitutional Adjudication and the Limits of the Paradigm of Decision	593
2.10 Insights from the Paradigm of the Decision	595
References	600
PART III - PARADIGM OF PROCEDURALIZATION	619
Introduction: The Limits of Constitutional Law in Postmodern Complexity	621
3.1 What Defines Contemporary Constitutional Democracy?	623
3.1.1 Democracy and Its Transformations in the Postmodern World	636
3.1.2 The Transition from Real-World Grammar to Digital Grammar: The Code	636
3.1.3 The End of Metanarratives	638
3.1.4 Democracy Redefined as a Polycontextural Regime	643
3.1.5. Systemic Approaches and Constitutionalism	643
3.2 Postmodern Society and the Crisis of the Regulatory State	647

3.2.1 The Notion of Sovereignty as a Structuring Category of Contemporary Constitutional-Political Thought	648
3.2.2 From the Society of Individuals to the Society of Platforms	654
3.2.3 Formal and Substantive Rationalities: From the Crisis of the State to the Crisis of Law	660
3.2.4. The Need for a Reflexive Rationality: Learning as a Prerequisite for the Survival of Society and the Procedural State	663
3.3 The Administrative State and the Limits of the Reign of Law	671
3.3.1 Law and Bureaucracy: Describing a Contemporary Impasse	673
3.3.2 Adrian Vermeule and the Failure of Traditional Legal Reasoning Institutional Interpretation and the Limits of Judicial Rationality	678
3.3.3 The Thesis of Abnegation and the New Reign of Law	685
3.3.4 Autonomy as Meaning and Justification for the Abnegation of Law	690
3.4 Procedural Law	693
3.4.1 Introductory Aspects of Proceduralization: From the Failure of Law as a Regulatory Instrument to the Procedural Alternative	695
3.4.2 Paradox as a Trigger for Proceduralization	700
3.4.3 Theoretical Aspects of Proceduralization	709
3.5 From Decision to Proceduralization: A Paradigm Shift and Coupling	723
3.6 Authorizing Pathways of Proceduralization	731
3.6.1 First Pathway: When the Knowledge Required to Address the Litigated Matter Cannot Be Found Solely Within Law	732
3.6.2 Second Pathway: The Litigated Matter Imposes Upon the Constitutional Adjudication the Need to Define, Supplement, or Revise a Given Normative Regulation in the Absence of a Constitutionally Mandated Response	737
3.6.3 Third Pathway: When the Consequences of Constitutional Adjudication Are Unforeseeable and Risk Producing Outcomes More Unconstitutional Than the Situation They Sought to Remedy, the Framework Must Ensure the Possibility of Revision and Flexibility	750
3.6.4 Fourth Avenue: The Elimination or Immunization of an Unconstitutional Situation Requires Effective Action by Another Branch of Government	752
3.7 The Legitimizing Requirements of Proceduralization	753
3.7.1 Duty of Reasoning	753
3.7.2 Plurality of Contributions from Different Segments of Society	754
3.7.3 Keeping Channels of Communication Open for Reflection and Adaptability	759
3.7.4 Ensuring Standards of Accountability in Monitoring the Outcomes of the Adopted Solution	760
3.7.5 Conceptual Summary of the Modes and Objects of Proceduralization	764
3.8 The Pressing Need for Interbranch Dialogue	766
3.9 Law and Public Administration: Constitutional Adjudication as a Hybrid Space	771

3.9.1 The Time for Coordinated Action: The Cooperative Self-Restraint of Law	775
3.9.2 How Should Judicial and Administrative Decisions Be Made in the Administrative State? Toward a New Decision-Making Architecture	776
3.10. From Separation to Dialogue Between Branches of Government	777
3.10.1 The Relationship with the Executive Branch	777
3.10.2 The Relationship with the Legislative Branch	781
3.10.3 Towards a More Dialogical Rather Than Repressive Constitutional Review Framework	783
3.10.4 Transitional Regimes	784
3.10.5 Shifts in Interpretation	786
3.10.6 Understanding the Concept of Legislative Omission and the Notion of Self-Restraint	788
3.10.7 The Constitutional Claim as a Tool for Reflexivity and Cognitive Learning	790
3.10.8 Motions to Extend the Effects of Constitutional Precedents to Third Parties as Mechanisms of the Cognitive Functionalization of Constitutional Adjudication	797
3.10.9 Settlements in Constitutional Adjudication as a Daily Reality of the Federal Supreme Court (STF)	802
3.11 Arbitration and Constitutional Adjudication: A Form of Regulated Self-Regulation	809
3.11.1 Toward a Constitutional Filtering of Arbitration: A Reinterpretation of Constitutional Actions	811
3.11.2 The Duty of Disclosure by Arbitrators from a Constitutional Perspective	815
3.11.3 Arbitration and Self-Regulation	823
3.12 Insights Based on the Paradigm of Proceduralization	824
References	829
 EPILOGUE - <i>BERLIN ALEXANDERPLATZ</i> AND STUPIDITY	843
References	848
ALPHABETICAL AND SUBJECT INDEX	849